International Journal of Education and Psychological Research (IJEPR)
e - ISSN: 2279 - 0179
Volume 15, Issue 3, September 2026
Available online at www.ijepr.org
Orignal Research Article
Open Access

A Comparative Study of Emotional Achievement Related to the Academic Performance of Students

Yogeeta Nandwani, Pooja Gupta, Munender Kr. Tyagi
Mewar University, Chittorgarh
Email: mktyyagi@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: This synthesized review synthesizes contemporary empirical research examining the direct relationship between student emotional well-being and academic performance. Moving beyond traditional cognitive-centric paradigms of learning, this paper demonstrates how an individual's affective state encompassing emotional stability, subjective well-being, stress regulation, and psychological resilience directly governs cognitive availability, working memory capacity, and academic outcomes. Grounded in Fredrickson's Broaden-and-Build Theory, Pekrun's Control-Value Theory of Achievement Emotions, and Eysenck's Attentional Control Theory, this synthesis articulates the neurocognitive and behavioral pathways linking affect to scholastic achievement. The review integrates studies on the debilitating consequences of anxiety, depression, and academic burnout alongside the facilitative impacts of positive affect, emotional intelligence, and social-emotional learning interventions. The paper concludes with actionable implications for teacher educators, curriculum framework, and institutional policymakers.

Keywords: Emotional Achievement, Academic Performance, Emotion Regulation, Executive Functioning, Working Memory, Test Anxiety, Social-Emotional Learning, Emotional Intelligence, Psychological Aspects, Achievement, Emotions, Control-Value Theory, Attentional Control Theory, Academic Stress and Mental Health.

Article History: *Received: 22nd August, 2026, Revised: 17th September, 2026, Accepted: 24th September, 2026, Published: 28th September, 2026*

I. Introduction

It has been predicted historically that using standardized intelligence metrics, prior educational attainment, and cognitive aptitude measures. However, a growing body of psychological and educational literature establishes that processing cognitively may not occur in an affective vacuum. Student emotional well-being defined as a multidimensional construct encompassing high life satisfaction, emotional self-regulation, low levels of psychological distress, and psychological resilience serves as a primary determinant of how effectively students process, retain, and synthesize information.

When students experience compromised emotional well-being, their neural capacity for executive functioning is preoccupied by intrusive worry and stress responses, restricting their scholastic potential. Conversely, optimal emotional health creates the psychological safety and neural conditions necessary for sustained focus, complex problem-solving, and academic stamina.

This literature review presents a narrative synthesis exploring the direct linkage between student emotional well-being (the independent variable) and student academic performance (the dependent variable). By examining empirical research across primary, secondary, and post-secondary educational contexts, this paper maps the physiological, cognitive processes. Emotional health is dictated through motivational mechanisms leading to success.

II. Fundamental Theory

To understand how affective experiences translate into academic outcomes, three primary theoretical frameworks provide key explanatory mechanisms.

Broaden-and-Build Theory - Barbara

Barbara Fredrickson (2001) posits that positive emotional states such as joy, curiosity, contentment, and pride serve to temporarily broaden an individual's momentary thought-action repertoire. In learning environments, positive emotions encourage open-minded exploration, creative cognitive processing, and intellectual risk-taking. Over time, these broadened mindsets build durable personal resources, including cognitive agility, social connectedness, and psychological resilience (Fredrickson, 2004). Conversely, negative emotions narrow thought-action repertoires toward immediate survival or threat-avoidance behaviors, limiting a student's willingness to engage with complex or unfamiliar academic material.

Control-Value Theory

Reinhard Pekrun (2006) developed the Control-Value Theory to explain how emotions directly experienced in academic settings impact learning and achievement. According to Pekrun, achievement emotions are dictated by two subjective appraisals: control appraisals (the degree to which a student feels capable of initiating, executing, and mastering a learning task) and value appraisals (the personal importance or utility ascribed to the task). Positive activating emotions, such as enjoyment of learning, enhance intrinsic motivation, foster deep-learning strategies, and preserve self-regulation, thereby driving superior performance (Pekrun et al., 2011). In contrast, negative deactivating emotions, such as hopelessness or boredom, diminish cognitive effort, fragment attention, and consistently predict academic

underachievement (Pekrun et al., 2017).

Attentional Control Theory

Building on working memory frameworks, Eysenck et al. (2007) and Derakshan and Eysenck (2009) proposed Attentional Control Theory to explain the cognitive mechanisms underlying emotional distress, specifically anxiety. The theory asserts that anxiety disrupts attentional control by increasing the influence of stimulus-driven (bottom-up) processing over goal-directed (top-down) processing. Consequently, anxious students expend excessive working memory capacity monitoring potential threats or engaging in intrusive self-referential worry, which reduces the processing efficiency needed for demanding academic tasks.

III. Neurocognitive Mechanisms: Emotional Health, Working Memory, and Executive Function

The biological bridge linking emotional well-being to academic performance resides within the central nervous system, particularly the prefrontal cortex and amygdala. The prefrontal cortex regulates executive functions including working memory, cognitive flexibility, task switching, and inhibitory control—which are essential for reading comprehension, mathematical reasoning, and scientific analysis (Vogel & Schwabe, 2016).

When students experience acute or chronic emotional distress, elevated levels of cortisol and catecholamines alter prefrontal cortex operations while heightening amygdala reactivity (Owens et al., 2012). Owens et al. (2012) investigated the relationship between anxiety, depressive symptoms, and executive function in adolescents, finding that emotional distress directly impaired working memory performance during spatial and verbal reasoning tasks. When working memory capacity is occupied by distressing emotional states, students experience rapid cognitive overload, resulting in lower processing speed, frequent errors, and diminished comprehension during evaluative situations (Vogel & Schwabe, 2016).

Conversely, emotional stability and low physiological stress protect prefrontal executive functioning. Stable emotional health preserves working memory capacity, enabling students to allocate their full cognitive resources toward goal-directed academic tasks, sustained attention, and long-term memory consolidation (Derakshan & Eysenck, 2009).

IV. Neuro-Negative Affects and Psychological Distress Anxiety Prone Areas

Anxiety disorders and situational academic anxiety represent widespread barriers to student achievement. In a large-scale investigation, Chapell et al. (2005) analyzed the relationship between test anxiety and undergraduate grade point averages (GPA) across a sample of 5,551 students. Their findings revealed a significant, inverse relationship: students reporting high levels of test anxiety earned consistently lower cumulative GPAs than their low-anxiety counterparts across both undergraduate and graduate levels.

Cassady and Johnson (2002) further dissected this phenomenon the cognitive and physiological components of test anxiety in the students (N = 168). They demonstrated that the cognitive dimension specifically intrusive worry and negative self-talk exerted the strongest negative impact on examination scores, overriding the physical symptoms of autonomic arousal. In a comprehensive meta-analysis of 238 studies, von der Embse et al. (2018) confirmed that test anxiety consistently demonstrates a moderate-to-strong negative correlation with values of test scores, course grades, and overall scholastic achievement across elementary, middle, and high school populations.

Depressive Symptoms and Distress

Depression severely compromises the cognitive, motivational, and behavioral components necessary for academic success. Eisenberg et al. (2009) evaluated the mental health of 2,843 university students across multiple campuses and matched survey responses with official institutional registrar records. Their results indicated that students exhibiting clinical symptoms of depression experienced a significant decline in GPA and faced a probability of drop-out or academic probation two to three times higher than non-depressed students.

Similarly, Stallman (2010) evaluated psychological distress among 6,479 university students, revealing that over 19% of the student population experienced severe psychological distress that directly impaired their daily academic functioning. In a systematic review of post-secondary institutions, Richardson et al. (2012) corroborated these findings, establishing that anxiety, depression, and general stress consistently show that the academic performance and its relationship with poor emotional health reflect a negative trend.

Academic Stress and Neurasthenic Causes

When academic demands perpetually exceed a student's emotional coping resources, chronic stress culminates in academic burnout a syndrome characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced academic efficacy (Madigan & Curran, 2021). Pascoe et al. (2020) synthesized literature on secondary and tertiary students, highlighting that high academic stress correlates with elevated sleep disturbances, impaired immunity, substance misuse, and severe declines in academic achievement. Madigan and Curran (2021) conducted a meta-analysis of 29 studies (N = 10,841) examining burnout and academic performance, concluding that emotional exhaustion and cynical detachment from schoolwork are mostly related with lower result grades, failed examinations, and increased dropout rates.

V. The Facilitative Role of Positive Emotion, Emotional Intelligence, and Resilience

Positive Achievement Emotions and Engagement

Just as negative emotional states inhibit learning, positive emotional experiences actively enhance academic capacity. Pekrun et al. (2017) conducted a multi-year longitudinal study involving 1,190 secondary school students to analyze the relationships between emotions and academic performance in mathematics. Using latent growth modeling, the authors demonstrated that positive emotions (such as enjoyment and pride) positively predicted subsequent math achievement over time, whereas negative emotions (such as anxiety, anger, and hopelessness) predicted subsequent declines in performance.

In a study of 1,180 university students, Oriol et al. (2016) reflected that self-transcendent positive emotions, such as gratitude and awe, predicted higher academic engagement and subjective well-being through the mediation of academic self-efficacy. Students experiencing positive emotional states displayed higher intrinsic motivation, greater persistence when facing challenging material, and superior mastery of complex concepts.

Emotional Intelligence and Affective Regulation

Emotional Intelligence (EI)—the capacity to perceive, understand, manage, and utilize emotions—acts as a vital buffer that protects academic performance under stressful conditions. Brackett et al. (2011) examined the role of emotional intelligence in classroom contexts, demonstrating that students who effectively regulate their emotional states display higher academic engagement, superior teacher-student relationship quality, and lower behavioral disruptions.

In a comprehensive meta-analysis incorporating over 160 studies and more than 42,000 participants, MacCann et al. (2020) confirmed that emotional intelligence is a major non-cognitive predictor of academic success, ranking third behind intelligence and conscientiousness. The meta-analysis revealed three primary mechanisms explaining this link:

a) Emotionally intelligent students manage negative emotions arising from academic evaluation (such as test anxiety and frustration);
b) They establish stronger social support networks with peers and educators; and
c) They better understand the emotional nuances of humanities and social science curricula.

Similarly, Mavroveli and Sanchez-Ruiz (2011) explained that trait emotional intelligence predicted academic performance among adolescent pupils, especially for students with lower non-verbal cognitive ability, suggesting that emotional regulation skills can compensate for cognitive vulnerabilities during learning tasks.

Social-Emotional Learning and Psychological Aspects

Building emotional well-being through structured interventions produces measurable gains in academic metrics. Durlak et al. (2011) conducted a landmark meta-analysis evaluating 213 school-based Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) programs involving 270,034 kindergarten through high school students. The results revealed that students participating in universal SEL programs exhibited statistically significant improvements in emotional self-regulation, social behavior, and academic performance, yielding an **11-percentile-point gain in academic achievement** compared to non-participating peers.

Extending these findings, Taylor et al. (2017) conducted a follow-up meta-analysis of 82 SEL interventions involving 97,406 students, evaluating long-term outcomes ranging from 6 months to 18 years post-intervention. Their findings confirmed that the positive effects of SEL on emotional well-being and academic performance persist over time, leading to higher high school graduation rates, improved college attendance, and reduced conduct problems.

Research focusing on psychological resilience further highlights how emotional strength mitigates stress. Scherer et al. (2021) investigated psychological capital (resilience, hope, optimism, and efficacy) among university students (N = 4,500), discovering that high emotional resilience buffered the adverse impact of academic stressors, enabling students to maintain stable academic performance despite high coursework demands. Furthermore, Megreya and Nayel (2020) evaluated executive function and emotional resilience in undergraduate students, concluding that resilient students maintained superior working memory and cognitive control during high-stress exam periods.

Discussion

The synthesis of empirical literature reviewed in this paper confirms that student emotional well-being is not a passive auxiliary state, but an active, indispensable determinant of academic performance. The traditional separation of affective development and cognitive instruction in educational policy fails to reflect the neurobiological reality of learning. As demonstrated across primary, secondary, and post-secondary educational contexts, emotional distress directly compromises the executive functions required for higher-order learning, whereas optimal emotional health expands cognitive availability and fosters sustained scholastic success.

Major Findings

The reviewed literature reveals a consistent, multi-level relationship between emotional well-being and academic metrics. At the neurocognitive level, the findings strongly validate Eysenck et al.'s (2007) Attentional Control Theory and Derakshan and Eysenck's (2009) processing efficiency model. Chronic psychological distress, clinical anxiety, and intrusive test anxiety systematically consume prefrontal working memory capacity (Cassady & Johnson, 2002; Owens et al., 2012). When students experience heightened threat responses or evaluative anxiety, working memory resources are diverted from goal-directed processing toward threat-monitoring and worry. Consequently, even students with high intellectual potential experience performance

decrements, elevated error rates, and reduced processing speed under high-stakes evaluation (Cassady & Johnson, 2002; von der Embse et al., 2018).

At the motivational and behavioral level, the literature provides robust support for Pekrun's (2006) Control-Value Theory and Fredrickson's (2001) Broaden-and-Build Theory. Positive achievement emotions, such as academic enjoyment and pride, broaden a student's cognitive repertoire, promoting intrinsic motivation, deep-learning strategies, and task persistence (Oriol et al., 2016; Pekrun et al., 2017). Conversely, negative emotions like hopelessness and chronic boredom foster cognitive disengagement and academic withdrawal, culminating in elevated attrition rates and lower cumulative GPAs (Eisenberg et al., 2009; Madigan & Curran, 2021). Furthermore, meta-analytic evidence from MacCann et al. (2020) and Durlak et al. (2011) proves that social-emotional competencies—specifically emotional intelligence, stress regulation, and psychological resilience—function as vital non-cognitive buffers that protect executive functioning and enhance overall GPA across diverse learning environments.

Educational Implications

These findings carry significant operational implications for educators, school administrators, and educational policymakers:

a) **Systemic Social-Emotional Learning Curriculum Integration:** It should not be treated as an extracurricular addition or a temporary intervention. Given that universal programs yield long-term gains in academic performance and emotional regulation (Durlak et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2017), social-emotional training must be embedded directly into daily pedagogical practices across all educational tiers.

b) **Assessment Reform and Anxiety Reduction:** Because single, high-stakes examinations trigger cognitive test anxiety that distorts the measurement of actual student aptitude (Cassady & Johnson, 2002; von der Embse et al., 2018), institutional frameworks should transition toward continuous, formative assessments. Diversifying evaluation methods reduces acute evaluative threat and preserves prefrontal working memory capacity during learning.

c) **Institutional Mental Health Architecture:** Educational institutions must build proactive mental health infrastructure, including accessible campus counseling, gatekeeper training for faculty, and routine screening for psychological distress (Eisenberg et al., 2009; Stallman, 2010). Early identification of depressive symptoms or academic burnout prevents severe academic failure and dropouts.

d) **Alignment with Policy Frameworks:** These practical recommendations align directly with holistic education directives, such as India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. By emphasizing stress reduction, mental health support, continuous formative evaluation, and social-emotional development, policy frameworks recognize that student well-being is fundamental to institutional equity and academic excellence.

Limitations of the Study

While the reviewed body of literature provides convincing evidence regarding the affect-cognition link, several methodological and theoretical limitations warrant attention:

- **Predominance of Cross-Sectional Designs:** A significant proportion of studies rely on cross-sectional survey methods, restricting the ability to establish definitive causal directionality between emotional well-being and academic outcomes. While longitudinal studies (e.g., Pekrun et al., 2017; Madigan & Curran, 2021) confirm reciprocal relationships, more longitudinal tracking is required.
- **Over-Reliance on Self-Report Measures:** Much of the existing data on student affect and stress relies on subjective self-report questionnaires, which are susceptible to social desirability bias, recall errors, and fluctuating situational states.
- **Western and Tertiary Sampling Bias:** A substantial share of published studies draws from North American and European post-secondary populations. Additional empirical investigation is required within diverse socio-economic, primary, and secondary educational contexts in non-Western regions.

Directions for Further Research

To address these limitations and expand upon current knowledge, future educational and psychological research should focus on three key directions:

a) **Interdisciplinary Neuroimaging and Physiological Studies:** Future studies should combine psychometric surveys with objective physiological markers—such as salivary cortisol levels, heart-rate variability, and functional neuroimaging—to map real-time cognitive-affective load during learning tasks (Vogel & Schwabe, 2016).

b) **Longitudinal Impact of Digital and AI-Mediated Learning:** As educational environments increasingly incorporate digital interfaces, online learning platforms, and artificial intelligence tools, researchers must examine how tech-mediated instruction alters student emotional well-being, social connection, and academic stress.

c) **Culturally Validated Interventions:** Research should prioritize the design and longitudinal evaluation of culturally adapted frameworks to determine which emotional regulation strategies produce the greatest academic gains among

marginalized, neurodivergent, and socio-economically disadvantaged student cohorts.

VI. Conclusion

The synthesized literature demonstrates that student emotional well-being is a core prerequisite for optimal academic achievement. Chronic psychological distress, clinical anxiety, depression, and academic burnout consume critical prefrontal working memory resources, impair executive functioning, lower intrinsic motivation, and lead to academic underperformance or attrition. Conversely, positive emotional experiences, robust emotional intelligence, self-regulation, and psychological resilience broaden cognitive horizons, protect executive processing, and foster academic stamina. Educational institutions that systematically cultivate emotional health create the optimal environment for student learning, achievement, and long-term success.

References

1. Brackett, M. A., Rivers, S. E., & Salovey, P. (2011). Emotional intelligence: Implications for personal, social, academic, and workplace success. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 5(1), 88–103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010.00334.x
2. Cassady, J. C., & Johnson, R. E. (2002). Cognitive test anxiety and academic performance. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 27(2), 270–295. https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.2001.1094
3. Chapell, M. S., Bunting, A. B., Takahashi, K., Pinkas, Z., & Newman, B. (2005). Test anxiety and academic performance in undergraduate and graduate students. *A Journal of Educational Research*, 97(2), 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.97.2.268
4. Derakshan, N., & Eysenck, M. W. (2009). Anxiety, processing efficiency, and cognitive performance: New developments from attentional control theory. *European Psychologist*, 14(2), 168–177. https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.14.2.168
5. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). Impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x
6. Eisenberg, D., Golberstein, E., & Hunt, J. B. (2009). Mental health and academic success in college. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*, 9(1), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.2202/1935-1682.2191
7. Eysenck, M. W., Derakshan, N., Santos, R., & Calvo, M. G. (2007). Anxiety and cognitive performance: Attentional control theory. *Emotion*, 7(2), 336–353. https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.7.2.336
8. Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.218
9. Fredrickson, B. L. (2004). The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 359(1449), 1367–1378. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2004.1512
10. Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General Psychology*, 2(3), 271–299. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271
11. MacCann, C., Jiang, Y., Brown, L. E. R., Double, K. S., Bucich, M., & Minbashian, A. (2020). Emotional intelligence predicts academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 146(2), 150–186. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000219
12. Madigan, D. J., & Curran, T. (2021). Does burnout affect academic achievement? A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(2), 387–405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09533-1
13. Mavroveli, S., & Sanchez-Ruiz, M. J. (2011). Trait emotional intelligence influences on academic performance and school behaviour. *A Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81(1), 112–134. https://doi.org/10.1348/000709910X522570
14. Megreya, A. M., & Nayel, A. G. (2020). Executive functions and resilience as predictions of academic performance in university students. *A Journal of Adult Development*, 27(3), 210–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10804-019-09338-7
15. Oriol, X., Amutio, A., Mendoza, M., Da Costa, S., & Miranda, R. (2016). Emotional creativity as predictor of intrinsic motivation and academic engagement in university students: The mediating role of positive emotions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1243. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01243
16. Owens, M., Stevenson, J., Hadwin, J. A., & Norgate, R. (2012). Anxiety and depression in academic performance: An exploration of the mediating role of working memory. *School Psychology International*, 33(4), 433–449. https://doi.org/10.1177/0143034311427433
17. Pascoe, M. C., Hetrick, S. E., & Parker, A. G. (2020). The impact of stress on students in secondary and tertiary education. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 25(1), 104–112. https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1596823
18. Pekrun, R. (2006). The control-value theory of achievement emotions: Assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice. *Educational Psychology Review*, 18(4), 315–341. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-006-9029-9
19. Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Frenzel, A. C., Barchfeld, P., & Perry, R. P. (2011). Measuring emotions in students' learning and performance: The

Achievement Emotions Questionnaire (AEQ). *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 36(1), 36–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2010.10.002

20. Pekrun, R., Lichtenfeld, S., Marsh, H. W., Murayama, K., & Goetz, T. (2017). Achievement emotions and academic performance: Longitudinal models of reciprocal effects. *Child Development*, 88(5), 1653–1670. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12704
21. Richardson, M., Abraham, C., & Bond, R. (2012). Psychological correlates of university students' academic performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 138(2), 353–387. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026838
22. Scherer, S., Talley, C. P., & Fife, J. E. (2021). How psychological capital mitigates stress and improves academic performance. Journal of College Student Retention: Research,Theory & Practice, 23(2), 288–308. https://doi.org/10.1177/1521025118810738
23. Stallman, H. M. (2010). Psychological distress in university students: A comparison with general population data. *Australian Psychologist*, 45(4), 249–257. https://doi.org/10.1080/00050067.2010.482109
24. Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, 88(4), 1156–1171. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12864
25. Vogel, S., & Schwabe, L. (2016). Learning and memory under stress: Implications for the classroom. *NPJ Science of Learning*, 1, 16011. https://doi.org/10.1038/npjscilearn.2016.11
26. von der Embse, N., Jue Jue, D., Barakal, C., & Mankin, A. (2018). Test anxiety interventions for children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of School Psychology*, 67, 26–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2017.11.001